

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/517,633 | 12/13/2004 | Keiji Kamiyama | 2004_1927A 1348 | |
| | | | | |
| WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MORRIS, PATRICIA L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , _ - · · · · - · - · | | 1625 | |
| | | | | , |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | • | | 01/14/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/517,633 | KAMIYAMA ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Patricia L. Morris | 1625 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| Period fo | | / IC CET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH/ | S) OB THIRTY (30) DAVS | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE. | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 O | ctober 2007. | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-12,14,15 and 20 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| • | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>14,15 and 20</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement | • | | | |
| 8)[| are subject to restriction and/o | r cicollori roquii omonii. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| 11)[| The part of declaration is objected to by the Ex | daminer. Note the attached Office | , Action of form 1 10 102 | | | |
| • | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 2) Notic | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | ·· | | | |

10/517,633 Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-12, 14, 15 and 20 are under consideration in this application.

Election/Restrictions

The restriction requirement is deemed sound and proper and is hereby made FINAL.

Again, this application has been examined to the extent readable on the elected compounds wherein B represents (optionally substituted) benzene, Y represents nonheterocyclic groups and tetrahydropyran, R, W, W_1 , W_2 and Z represent nonheterocyclic groups and D_1 , D_2 , X_1 and X_2 as set forth in claim 1, exclusively. All rings formed by R and W together are drawn to nonelected subject matter. It is suggested that the additional rings be deleted.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C 103 is hereby withdrawn in view of the declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 of Banno filed on October 25, 2007.

The rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112 are hereby withdrawn in view of applicants' amendments to the claims.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned

Art Unit: 1625

with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-12 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/517,847. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant compounds are disclosed therein.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

This rejection is maintained until the application is found to be allowable.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 14 is objected to as containing nonelected subject.

Claims 15 and 20 presented in independent form or made dependent on an allowable claim, would appear allowable, otherwise it is objected to as being dependent on a nonallowed claim.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number:

10/517,633

Art Unit: 1625

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia L. Mofris
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625

plm

January 4, 2007